arXiv:1512.08203v2 [math.RT] 15 Aug 2016

Differential invariants on symplectic spinors in contact projective geometry

Libor Křižka, Petr Somberg

Abstract

We present a complete classification and the construction of $\mathrm{Mp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$-equivariant differential operators acting on the principal series representations, associated to the contact projective geometry on $\mathbb{RP}^{2n+1}$ and induced from the $\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$-irreducible submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a one-parameter family of characters. The proof is based on the classification of homomorphisms of generalized Verma modules for the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a one-parameter family of characters, together with the generalization of the well-known duality between homomorphisms of generalized Verma modules and equivariant differential operators for the category of smooth inducing modules.

Keywords: Contact projective geometry, Segal-Shale-Weil representation, generalized Verma module.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 53D05, 81R25.

Contents

Introduction **1**

1 The structure of generalized Verma modules and equivariant differential operators **2**

2 The contact projective structure **5**
2.1 The geometry of flat contact projective structure 5
2.2 Representation theory of contact projective structure 6
2.3 Description of the representation 8

3 Generalized Verma modules and singular vectors **10**

4 Equivariant differential operators on generalized flag manifolds **17**
4.1 Equivariant differential operators on symplectic spinors in the contact projective geometry 20

Appendix A The Fischer decomposition for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ **21**

Introduction

The idea of a symplectic analogue of spinor fields in Riemannian (or conformal) geometry is based on the use of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation and originated in the work by B. Kostant, [13]. The geometrical and functional theoretical properties of the associated first order differential invariant, the symplectic Dirac operator D_s, were consequently studied on symplectic manifolds, cf. [7] and the references therein. However, there is another useful realization of symplectic differential invariants like D_s, which is based on an extension of their symplectic symmetry group. In

particular, following the Cartan's approach to generalized geometry there is a minimal (i.e. locally described by one dimensional family of symplectic leaves) model in this particular case: the contact projective geometry, characterized by the maximally non-integrable symplectic distribution of codimension one. This program was initiated in [9], and it immediately raised the question of a classification of flat (homogeneous) invariants for (irreducible metaplectic submodules of) the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a one-parameter family of characters.

In fact, we rely on the recently developed approach to the classification of homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules, [12], [15], [10], and give a complete classification result in the case of irreducible metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a family of characters as the inducing representations. However, as a part of the problem we generalize the formalism of F-method to a bigger category of smooth (inducing) modules containing the class of finite-dimensional representations. We also remark that for characters as inducing representations in the case of C_n-series of simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras, the composition structure for scalar generalized Verma modules is rather poor (as we shall discuss in the forthcoming article [11]) when compared to the classical series A_n, B_n, D_n, see [10]. Then the vector-valued representation given by the irreducible metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation is a prominent example from the perspective of potential applications.

The content of our article goes as follows. In Section 1, we briefly introduce the formalism of F-method (cf. [12], [15], [10]) and extend it to a category of smooth (inducing) modules containing the finite-dimensional representations. This allows to reformulate the task of finding geometrical invariants in the framework of an analytical problem of solving a system of PDEs. In Section 2, we describe the case of contact projective geometry in detail, and introduce the family of representations induced from the irreducible metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by characters. It is described in the non-compact model on the open Schubert cell of the generalized flag manifold given by the projective space. Section 3 lies at the heart of our article, because it presents in Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 a complete list of solutions of the former problem in terms of singular vectors for the inducing representations of our interest. In Section 4, we review the notion of smooth representation and apply it to prove an extension of a result on the duality between equivariant differential operators acting on induced representations and homomorphisms of generalized Verma modules, known for finite-dimensional inducing representations, cf. [3], [17]. Then we dualize the (inducing) representations and in Theorem 4.3 construct, following the complete set of singular vectors, all equivariant differential operators on the irreducible metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a character. Roughly speaking, our result produces two types of equivariant differential operators. The first class are the twistor-like operators landing in more complicated metaplectic modules, and we expect their appearance in a not yet developed Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences associated with infinite-dimensional representations. The second class of equivariant operators targets back the irreducible metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation twisted by a character: the operators have a right to be called "contact powers of the symplectic Dirac operator", in complete analogy with the equivariant differential operators termed "conformal powers of the Laplace operator", "conformal powers of the Dirac operator" and "CR invariant powers of the sub-Laplacian" studied in the realm of the conformal and CR geometries, respectively, cf. [6], [8], [5].

Throughout the article, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0$ denotes the natural numbers including zero.

1 The structure of generalized Verma modules and equivariant differential operators

We consider the pair (G, P) consisting of a connected real semisimple Lie group G and its parabolic subgroup P. In the Levi decomposition $P = LU$, L denotes the Levi subgroup and U the unipotent radical of P. We write $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{l}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{R})$ for the real Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{l}$, $\mathfrak{u}$ for the

complexified Lie algebras of G, P, L, U, respectively. The symbols U and S applied to a Lie algebra denote its universal enveloping algebra and symmetric algebra, respectively. The symbol Z applied to a Lie algebra denotes the center of the corresponding universal enveloping algebra.

It is well-known that the G-equivariant differential operators acting on principal series representations for G can be recognized in the study of homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In the classical case of finite-dimensional inducing representations, the latter homomorphisms are determined by the image of highest weight vectors and are referred to as the singular vectors. They are characterized as the vectors in the generalized Verma module annihilated by the positive nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$.

We rely on the following approach to find precise positions of singular vectors in the representation space, cf. [12], [10] for a detailed exposition. First of all, in the main example of the present article discussed in Section 2 and Section 3, a P-module $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$ is a direct sum of finitely many infinite-dimensional complex smooth simple highest weight L-modules, extended to P-modules by U acting trivially. We postpone the definition of a smooth module to Section 4.

Let us assume that $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ defines a group character $e^\lambda \colon P \to \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ of P (not uniquely determined in general), and define $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\rho(X) = \tfrac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{u}} \operatorname{ad}(X) \tag{1.1}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then we can define a twisted P-module $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ with a twist $\lambda + \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $p \in P$ acts as $e^{\lambda+\rho}(p)\sigma(p)v$ instead of $\sigma(p)v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \simeq \mathbb{V}$ (an isomorphism of vector spaces). For the case discussed in our example, $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{S}$ is the direct sum of two complex smooth simple unitarizable highest weight L-modules, $L \simeq \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{Mp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given by the metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation.

For a chosen principal series representation of G on the vector space $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho})$ of smooth sections of the homogeneous vector bundle $G \times_P \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \to G/P$ associated to a complex smooth P-module $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$, we compute the infinitesimal action

$$\pi_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D}(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \tag{1.2}$$

on the vector space $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-valued smooth functions on U_e in the non-compact picture of the induced representation. Here $\mathcal{D}(U_e)$ denotes the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of smooth complex linear differential operators on $U_e = \overline{U}P \subset G/P$ ($\overline{U}$ is the Lie group whose Lie algebra is the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathfrak{u}(\mathbb{R})$).

Since the vector space $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-valued distributions on U_e supported in the unit coset $o = eP \in G/P$ is $\mathcal{D}(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$-module, we obtain the infinitesimal action of $\pi_\lambda(X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$. The exponential map allows to identify U_e with the nilpotent Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$. If we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ the Weyl algebra of the complex vector space $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, then the vector space $\mathcal{D}'_o(U_e)$ can be conveniently analyzed by identifying it as an $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$-module with the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the left ideal I_e generated by all polynomials on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ vanishing at the origin. Moreover, there is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module isomorphism

$$\Phi_\lambda \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}) \equiv U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho} \to \mathcal{D}'_o(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/I_e \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be the linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ and let $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ be the dual linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Then the algebraic Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}^{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{1.4}$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(x_i) = -\partial_{y_i}, \qquad \mathcal{F}(\partial_{x_i}) = y_i \tag{1.5}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and leads to a vector space isomorphism

$$\tau \colon \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}^{\mathfrak{g}}/I_e \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}^{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathcal{F}(I_e) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \tag{1.6}$$

defined by

$$Q \bmod I_e \mapsto \mathcal{F}(Q) \bmod \mathcal{F}(I_e) \tag{1.7}$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$. The composition of the previous mappings (1.3) and (1.6) gives the vector space isomorphism

$$\tau \circ \Phi_\lambda \colon U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'_o(U_e) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}, \tag{1.8}$$

thereby it induces an action $\hat{\pi}_\lambda \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$.

For the purposes of transferring the results from the polynomials on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$ to the universal enveloping algebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, we need to implement the symmetrization map $\beta \colon S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \to U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$, which is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism of filtered vector spaces defined on monomials by

$$\beta(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} a_{\sigma(1)} \cdot a_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{\sigma(k)} \tag{1.9}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, where S_k is the permutation group of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. If we denote by $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ satisfying $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(f_i) = -y_i \bmod \mathcal{F}(I_e)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then we have

$$(\tau \circ \Phi_\lambda)(\beta(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \dots f_{i_k}) \otimes v) = (-1)^k y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_k} \otimes v \tag{1.10}$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

We now make several representation-theoretical remarks related to the class of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules discussed in the present article. There is a generalization of the category of finite-dimensional (inducing) $\mathfrak{p}$-modules, leading to a generalization of the parabolic BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$, see e.g. [16] and references therein. It is based on a choice of a full subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of the category of finitely generated $U(\mathfrak{l})$-modules, admissible in the following sense. It is stable under the tensor product with any finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{l}$-module, $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ (the center of $U(\mathfrak{l})$) decomposes $\mathcal{A}$ into a direct sum of full subcategories (each of which is equivalent to a module category over a finite-dimensional associative algebra), and the action of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ (the center of $\mathfrak{l}$) on any object of $\mathcal{A}$ is diagonalizable. Given $\mathcal{A}$, we construct a generalization $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A})$ of the parabolic BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ (in which case $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the category of finite-dimensional semisimple $\mathfrak{l}$-modules). Then $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{A})$ is characterized as a full subcategory of the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules which are finitely generated $U(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, locally $\mathfrak{u}$-finite and a direct sum of objects from $\mathcal{A}$ viewed as $\mathfrak{l}$-modules. For the terminology, the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})$ for $\mathbb{V}$ a semisimple $\mathfrak{p}$-module are still called the generalized Verma modules. A natural choice for $\mathcal{A}$, which is rather convenient from the point of view of many applications and which is the one used in our article, is given by the parabolic BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{q}}$ for a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ of the reductive Levi algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then for a finite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{l}$-module $\mathbb{F}_\lambda \subset U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$ with highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and for an infinite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{l}$-module $\mathbb{V}$ with infinitesimal character χ_μ, $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ regarded as an object of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{q}}$, there is a finite length filtration by $\mathfrak{l}$-modules

$$0 = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_k = \mathbb{F}_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}, \quad k = \dim \mathbb{F}_\lambda, \tag{1.11}$$

with

$$X_i = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V};\ \textstyle\prod_{j=1}^{i} (z - \chi_{\mu+\mu_j}(z))(v) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in Z(\mathfrak{l})\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Here we used the notation $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k\}$ for the set of distinct weights of $\mathbb{F}_\lambda$, see [14]. This result then yields the filtered $\mathfrak{l}$-module structure on $M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a smooth L-module, which is an object of a parabolic BGG category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{q}}$ associated to $\mathfrak{l}$ and extended to a P-module by U acting trivially. We define the filtered L-module

$$M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathfrak{u}} = \{v \in M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{V});\ Xv = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{u}\}, \tag{1.13}$$

and call it the vector space of singular vectors.

We apply the previous definition in Section 2 and Section 3 to the direct sum of two complex infinite-dimensional simple highest weight smooth P-modules. In fact $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V})$ is in this particular case a direct sum of complex infinite-dimensional simple highest weight L-modules, see [1]. Consequently, the space of singular vectors is in this case a direct sum of complex infinite-dimensional simple highest weight P-modules as well. Decomposing $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathfrak{u}}$ into simple L-modules and denoting by $\mathbb{W}$ one of its simple L-submodule, we obtain the $\mathfrak{g}$-homomorphism from $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{W})$ to $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V})$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g},P)}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{W}), M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{L}(\mathbb{W}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V})^{\mathfrak{u}}). \tag{1.14}$$

We introduce the L-module

$$\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} = \{f\in\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho};\ \hat{\pi}_{\lambda}(X)f=0 \text{ for all } X\in\mathfrak{u}\}, \tag{1.15}$$

and by (1.8), there is an L-equivariant isomorphism

$$\tau\circ\Phi_{\lambda}\colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{u}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}. \tag{1.16}$$

The action of $\hat{\pi}_{\lambda}(X)$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ produces a system of partial differential equations for the elements in $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$, which makes it possible to describe its structure completely in particular cases of interest. Namely, the algebraic Fourier transform on Weyl algebra modules converts the algebraic problem of finding singular vectors in generalized Verma modules into an analytic problem of solving the systems of partial differential equations.

The formulation above has a classical dual statement (cf. [3], [17] for the standard formulation in the category of finite-dimensional inducing P-modules), which explains the relationship between the geometrical problem of finding G-equivariant differential operators between induced representations and the algebraic problem of finding homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules. We refer to Section 4 for a detailed exposition of this duality for an arbitrary inducing smooth P-module.

2 The contact projective structure

In the present section we describe a few basic geometrical and representation theoretical aspects of the flat (or homogeneous) contact projective structure needed in our analysis. For the purposes of applications, we review the real homogeneous model of the contact projective geometry.

2.1 The geometry of flat contact projective structure

The generalized flag manifold corresponding to the flat model of real contact projective structure is the homogeneous space $G/P \simeq \mathbb{RP}^{2n+1}$, where the group of symplectic automorphisms $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$ of the standard symplectic vector space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2},\Omega)$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, acts transitively on the space of lines in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ by $(g,[v])\mapsto[g.v]$ for $0\neq v\in\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, $g\in\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$. The stabilizer of a line is a parabolic subgroup conjugated to the standard parabolic subgroup $P = (\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{Sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}))\ltimes\mathrm{H}(n,\mathbb{R})$. In the Dynkin diagrammatic notation, the Lie algebra of P is given by omitting the first simple root in the C_{n+1}-series of simple Lie algebras.

The geometry of real contact projective structure can be described as follows. We denote by $V^1\subset\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ the 1-dimensional subspace whose stabilizer is the group P, so that its Ω-complement $V^2 = (V^1)^{\perp}$ yields P-invariant filtration $V^1\subset V^2\subset\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$. The choice $0\neq v_{\infty}\in V^1$ and $v_0\in\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ such that $\Omega(v_{\infty},v_0)=1$ determines a splitting $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = V_0\oplus V_{-1}\oplus V_{-2}$ with $V_0 = V^1$ and v_0 spans V_{-2}. This grading on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ of G, $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R}) = \oplus_{i=-2}^{2}\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_i$, by

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_i = \{X\in\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R});\ X(V_k)\subset V_{k+i} \text{ for } -2\leq k\leq 0\}.$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-1}$ is the Heisenberg algebra, $\dim \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-2} = 1$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-1} = 2n$, and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_0$ is the conformal symplectic Lie algebra. The vectors v_0 and v_∞ together with a basis $v_1, \dots, v_{2n}$ of V_0 then determine linear coordinate functions $x^0, x^\infty, x^1, \dots, x^{2n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$, such that $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{IJ} dx^I \wedge dx^J$, $I \in \{0, \infty, 1, \dots, 2n\}$, with

$$\Omega_{0\infty} = 1, \qquad \Omega_{0i} = 0, \qquad \Omega_{\infty i} = 0, \qquad \Omega_{ij} = \omega_{ij}.$$

The group of automorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \Omega)$ is G, the Lie algebra of P is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_2$. We denote the stabilizer of the vector v_∞ by $P^s \subset P$, its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})^s$. Since we have $P/P^s \simeq \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R})$, the principal $\mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{R})$-bundle $G/P^s \to G/P$ determines the tautological vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{RP}^{2n+1}$, and the Maurer-Cartan form on G is the Cartan connection for the flat contact projective structure.

The P-invariant filtration on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ allows to define on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-1}$ the conformal symplectic structure, which further determines a symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R})^s$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \Omega)$. The basis $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-1}$ can be exponentiated to the basis of left-invariant vector fields $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{2n}$ on G/P, with the Lie bracket $[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = -\Omega_{\alpha\beta} E_0$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n\}$. The canonical contact structure (or, the contact distribution) on $T(G/P)$ is the left G-invariant subbundle of $T(G/P)$, generated by $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})_{-1}$ and spanned at each point by $E_1, \dots, E_{2n}$. A left-invariant section $\theta \in T^*(G/P)$ of the annihilator of the contact distribution is then determined by the requirement that E_0 is its Reeb vector field. The covariant derivative ∇, defined by the requirement that the vector fields E_α are ∇-parallel, preserves θ and $d\theta$ and determines a model for any contact projective geometry.

From the representation-theoretical point of view, we need the double cover of the symplectic group $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ called the metaplectic group $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{Mp}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$. Thus we have a double cover homomorphism $\psi\colon \widetilde{G} \to G$ of Lie groups, and if we define the parabolic subgroup $\widetilde{P}$ of $\widetilde{G}$ by $\widetilde{P} = \psi^{-1}(P)$, then the generalized flag manifold $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{P}$ is isomorphic to G/P induced by the mapping ψ.

For more detailed exposition with a view towards the description of a general (curved) contact projective structure, cf. [4] and the references therein.

2.2 Representation theory of contact projective structure

Let us consider the connected complex simple Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$, $n \geq 1$, defined by

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) = \{X \in \mathrm{GL}(2n+2, \mathbb{C});\, X^{\mathrm{T}} J_{2n+2} X = J_{2n+2}\}, \quad J_{2n+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n+1} \\ -I_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sp}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) &= \{X \in M_{2n+2,2n+2}(\mathbb{C});\, X^{\mathrm{T}} J_{2n+2} + J_{2n+2} X = 0\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix};\, A, B, C \in M_{n+1,n+1}(\mathbb{C}),\, B^{\mathrm{T}} = B,\, C^{\mathrm{T}} = C \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by the diagonal matrices

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, -a_1, \dots, -a_{n+1});\, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ we define $\varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\varepsilon_i(\mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, -a_1, \dots, -a_{n+1})) = a_i$. Then the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\Delta = \{\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j;\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i;\, 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$. The positive root system is $\Delta^+ = \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j;\, 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\} \cup \{2\varepsilon_i;\, 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$ with the set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}\}$, $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\alpha_{n+1} = 2\varepsilon_{n+1}$. Finally, the fundamental weights are $\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \varepsilon_j$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

The Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ defined as the direct sum of positive and negative root spaces together with the Cartan subalgebra are called the standard Borel and the opposite standard Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively. The subset $\Sigma = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}\}$ of Π generates the root

subsystem Δ_Σ in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, and we associate to Σ the standard parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. The reductive Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined through

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \tag{2.4}$$

and the nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ and the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ are

$$\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mathfrak{u}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \tag{2.5}$$

respectively. We define the Σ-height $\mathrm{ht}_\Sigma(\alpha)$ of $\alpha \in \Delta$ by

$$\mathrm{ht}_\Sigma\big(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \alpha_i\big) = a_1, \tag{2.6}$$

so $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $|2|$-graded Lie algebra with respect to the grading given by $\mathfrak{g}_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta,\, \mathrm{ht}_\Sigma(\alpha) = i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $0 \neq i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta,\, \mathrm{ht}_\Sigma(\alpha) = 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, $\overline{\mathfrak{u}} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

The basis $(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_n, c)$ of the root spaces in the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ is given by

$$f_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1_i^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_i^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 & 0 \\ 1_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the only non-trivial Lie brackets are $[f_i, g_i] = -c$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Analogously, the basis $(d_1, \dots, d_n, e_1, \dots, e_n, a)$ of the root spaces in $\mathfrak{u}$ is given by

$$d_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_i^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_i^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $[d_i, e_i] = a$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is the linear span of

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h_{A,B,C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 & -A^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $A, B, C \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy $B^{\mathrm{T}} = B$ and $C^{\mathrm{T}} = C$. Moreover, the element h is a basis of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$.

Finally, the parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by $P_{\mathbb{C}} = N_G(\mathfrak{p})$. The parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h & d^{\mathrm{T}} & a & e^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & A & e & B \\ 0 & 0 & -h & 0 \\ 0 & C & -d & -A^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{c} h, a \in \mathbb{C},\ d, e \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ A, B, C \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}), \\ B^{\mathrm{T}} = B,\ C^{\mathrm{T}} = C \end{array} \right\}. \tag{2.10}$$

The real connected simple Lie group G and its parabolic subgroup P is defined by $G = G_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ and $P = P_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$.

Any character $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \widetilde{\omega}_1 \tag{2.11}$$

for some $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\widetilde{\omega}_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ is equal to $\omega_1 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ regarded as trivially extended to $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_\Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. The vector $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$ defined by (1.1) is

$$\rho = (n+1)\widetilde{\omega}_1. \tag{2.12}$$

By abuse of notation, for the character $\lambda\widetilde{\omega}_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we use the simplified notation $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$.

2.3 Description of the representation

Here we describe the representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the space of sections of vector bundles on G/P associated to the simple metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda+\rho}$ of $\widetilde{P}$ twisted by characters $\lambda+\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$.

The induced representations in question are described in the non-compact picture, given by restricting sections on G/P to the open Schubert cell U_e isomorphic by the exponential map to the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by $(\hat{x}_1,\dots,\hat{x}_n,\hat{y}_1,\dots,\hat{y}_n,\hat{z})$ the linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ with respect to the basis $(f_1,\dots,f_n,g_1,\dots,g_n,c)$ of the opposite nilradical $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, and by $(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n,z)$ the dual linear coordinate functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$. Then the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}$ is generated by

$$\{\hat{x}_1,\dots,\hat{x}_n,\hat{y}_1,\dots,\hat{y}_n,\hat{z},\partial_{\hat{x}_1},\dots,\partial_{\hat{x}_n},\partial_{\hat{y}_1},\dots,\partial_{\hat{y}_n},\partial_{\hat{z}}\} \tag{2.13}$$

and the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}$ is generated by

$$\{x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n,z,\partial_{x_1},\dots,\partial_{x_n},\partial_{y_1},\dots,\partial_{y_n},\partial_z\}. \tag{2.14}$$

The local coordinate chart $u_e\colon x\in U_e \mapsto u_e(x)\in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R})\subset\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ for the open subset $U_e\subset G/P$, in coordinates with respect to the basis $(f_1,\dots,f_n,g_1,\dots,g_n,c)$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, is given by

$$u_e(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n u^i_x(x)f_i+\sum_{i=1}^n u^i_y(x)g_i+u_z(x)c \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x\in U_e$.

Let $(\sigma,\mathbb{V})$, $\sigma\colon\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V})$, be a $\mathfrak{p}$-module. Then a twisted $\mathfrak{p}$-module $(\sigma_\lambda,\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$, $\sigma_\lambda\colon\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$, with a twist $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$, is defined by

$$\sigma_\lambda(X)v=\sigma(X)v+\lambda(X)v \tag{2.16}$$

for all $X\in\mathfrak{p}$ and $v\in\mathbb{V}_\lambda\simeq\mathbb{V}$ (as vector spaces).

Let us introduce the notation

$$E_x=\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\partial_{x_j},\qquad E_z=z\partial_z,\qquad E_y=\sum_{j=1}^n y_j\partial_{y_j} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$E_{\hat{x}}=\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{x}_j\partial_{\hat{x}_j},\qquad E_{\hat{z}}=\hat{z}\partial_{\hat{z}},\qquad E_{\hat{y}}=\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{y}_j\partial_{\hat{y}_j} \tag{2.18}$$

for the Euler homogeneity operators.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$ and let $(\sigma,\mathbb{V})$ be a $\mathfrak{p}$-module. Then the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{V}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by

1)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(f_i)&=-\partial_{\hat{x}_i}+\tfrac{1}{2}\hat{y}_i\partial_{\hat{z}},\\ \pi_\lambda(g_i)&=-\partial_{\hat{y}_i}-\tfrac{1}{2}\hat{x}_i\partial_{\hat{z}},\\ \pi_\lambda(c)&=-\partial_{\hat{z}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(f_i)&=-x_i-\tfrac{1}{2}z\partial_{y_i},\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i)&=-y_i+\tfrac{1}{2}z\partial_{x_i},\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(c)&=-z\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for $i=1,2,\dots,n$;

2)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(h) &= E_{\hat{x}} + E_{\hat{y}} + 2E_{\hat{z}} + \sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h),\\ \pi_\lambda(h_{A,B,C}) &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(\hat{x}_j\partial_{\hat{x}_i} - \hat{y}_i\partial_{\hat{y}_j}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(b_{ij}\hat{y}_j\partial_{\hat{x}_i} + c_{ij}\hat{x}_j\partial_{\hat{y}_i}) + \sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{A,B,C}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(h) &= -E_x - E_y - 2E_z + \sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h),\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h_{A,B,C}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x_i\partial_{x_j} - y_j\partial_{y_i}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(b_{ij}x_i\partial_{y_j} + c_{ij}y_i\partial_{x_j}) + \sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{A,B,C})\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for $A, B, C \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $B^{\mathrm{T}} = B$, $C^{\mathrm{T}} = C$;

3)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\lambda(d_i) &= 2\hat{z}\partial_{\hat{y}_i} + \hat{x}_i(E_{\hat{x}} + E_{\hat{y}} + E_{\hat{z}}) + \hat{x}_i\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h) - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{x}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{E_{ji},0,0})\\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{y}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}}),\\ \pi_\lambda(e_i) &= -2\hat{z}\partial_{\hat{x}_i} + \hat{y}_i(E_{\hat{x}} + E_{\hat{y}} + E_{\hat{z}}) + \hat{y}_i\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{y}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{E_{ij},0,0})\\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\hat{x}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0}),\\ \pi_\lambda(a) &= 4\hat{z}(E_{\hat{x}} + E_{\hat{y}} + E_{\hat{z}}) + 4\hat{z}\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h) - 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{x}_i\hat{y}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{E_{ij},0,0})\\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{x}_i\hat{x}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{y}_i\hat{y}_j\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}})\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i) &= -2y_i\partial_z + \partial_{x_i}(E_x + E_y + E_z - 1) - \partial_{x_i}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{x_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{E_{ji},0,0})\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{y_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}}),\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i) &= 2x_i\partial_z + \partial_{y_i}(E_x + E_y + E_z - 1) - \partial_{y_i}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h) - \sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{y_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{E_{ij},0,0})\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{x_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0}),\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(a) &= 4\partial_z(E_x + E_y + E_z - 1) - 4\partial_z\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h) - 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{y_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{E_{ij},0,0})\\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}\sigma_{\lambda-\rho}(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}})\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward but tedious computation based on the use of commutation relations in the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{u}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and of the fact that $\sigma_{\lambda+\rho}\colon \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda+\rho})$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Let us note that a more elegant proof for a 1-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ easily follows from Theorem 1.3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10]. A proof of a similar formula for an arbitrary representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ is a subject of a forthcoming work. □

Now, we shall fix a realization of the simple metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and let us note that this representation is smooth. Its Fock model is

the unitarizable $\mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$-module $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}^n]\simeq\mathbb{C}[q_1,q_2,\dots,q_n]$. Since $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}\simeq\mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$, we realize the simple metaplectic submodules of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation as the representations of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ on the subspace of even and odd functions, respectively, where the generators act as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(h_{E_{ij},0,0})&=-q_j\partial_{q_i}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{ij},\\ \sigma(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0})&=\mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i}\partial_{q_j},\\ \sigma(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}})&=\mathrm{i}q_iq_j\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

for all $i,j=1,2,\dots,n$. The scalar product $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle\colon\mathbb{S}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}\to\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{S}$ is defined through the $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$-equivariant embedding into the space of Schwartz functions $\iota\colon\mathbb{S}\to\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{C})$, i.e. we have

$$\langle p_1,p_2\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\iota(\overline{p}_1)\iota(p_2)\,\mathrm{d}q.\tag{2.26}$$

The representation of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ is extended to a representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ by the trivial action of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ and by the trivial action of the nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. We retain the same notation $\sigma\colon\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{S})$ for the extended action of the parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In what follows, we are interested in the twisted $\mathfrak{p}$-module $\sigma_\lambda\colon\mathfrak{p}\to\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)$ with a twist $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$.

Let us define the differential operators

$$D_s=\sum_{j=1}^n(\mathrm{i}q_j\partial_{y_j}-\partial_{x_j}\partial_{q_j}),\qquad E=\sum_{j=1}^n(x_j\partial_{x_j}+y_j\partial_{y_j}),\qquad X_s=\sum_{j=1}^n(\mathrm{i}x_jq_j+y_j\partial_{q_j}),\tag{2.27}$$

which satisfy the commutation relations for $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$. Then the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathrm{End}\,\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(f_i)&=-x_i-\tfrac{1}{2}z\partial_{y_i},\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(g_i)&=-y_i+\tfrac{1}{2}z\partial_{x_i},\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(c)&=-z\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

for $i=1,2,\dots,n$;

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(h)&=-E_x-E_y-2E_z+\lambda-(n+1),\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(h_{A,B,C})&=\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x_i\partial_{x_j}-y_j\partial_{y_i})+\sum_{i,j=1}^n(b_{ij}x_i\partial_{y_j}+c_{ij}y_i\partial_{x_j})+\sigma(h_{A,B,C})\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

for $A,B,C\in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $B^{\mathrm{T}}=B$, $C^{\mathrm{T}}=C$;

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)&=-2y_i\partial_z+\partial_{x_i}\big(E_x+E_y+E_z-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)+q_iD_s,\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)&=2x_i\partial_z+\partial_{y_i}\big(E_x+E_y+E_z-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)-\mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i}D_s,\\ \hat{\pi}_\lambda(a)&=4\partial_z(E_x+E_y+E_z-\lambda+n)+\mathrm{i}D_s^2\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

for $i=1,2,\dots,n$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward combination of Theorem 2.1 and the Segal-Shale-Weil representation (2.25) twisted by a character $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$. □

3 Generalized Verma modules and singular vectors

In what follows the generators $x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n,z$ of the graded commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]$ have the grading $\deg(x_i)=\deg(y_i)=1$ for $i=1,2,\dots,n$ and $\deg(z)=2$. As there is a canonical isomorphism of left $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}/\mathcal{F}(I_e),\tag{3.1}$$

we obtain the isomorphism

$$\tau \circ \Phi_\lambda \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}, \tag{3.2}$$

where the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ is given by Theorem 2.2. Since $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ and $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ are semisimple $\mathfrak{l}$-modules, we denote by $(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})_\mu$ and $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}_\mu$ the $\mathfrak{l}$-isotypical components of highest weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Let us assume $R \in \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}_\mu$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then we have

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(h)R = \mu(h)R, \tag{3.3}$$

which is by Theorem 2.2 equivalent to

$$(E_x + E_y + 2E_z)R = (\lambda - (n+1) - \mu(h))R. \tag{3.4}$$

This restricts the values of μ for which $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}_\mu$ is a non-trivial vector space, because the Euler homogeneity operators E_x, E_y and E_z acting on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ have eigenvalues in $\mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently,

$$(E_x + E_y + 2E_z)R = mR \tag{3.5}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and so we may apply the solution operators

$$\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)R = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)R = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ to polynomials R of the form

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} z^k R_{m-2k}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $R_{m-2k} \in \mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ and satisfy

$$(E_x + E_y)R_{m-2k} = (m-2k)R_{m-2k}. \tag{3.8}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. Hence we obtain the recurrence relations

$$-2(k+1)y_i R_{m-2k-2} + \big(m-k-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)\partial_{x_i} R_{m-2k} + q_i D_s R_{m-2k} = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

$$2(k+1)x_i R_{m-2k-2} + \big(m-k-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)\partial_{y_i} R_{m-2k} - \mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i} D_s R_{m-2k} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, where $R_{m-2k} = 0$ for $k < 0$ and for $k > \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. In particular, for $k = 0$ we get

$$-2y_i R_{m-2} + \big(m-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)\partial_{x_i} R_m + q_i D_s R_m = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

$$2x_i R_{m-2} + \big(m-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)\partial_{y_i} R_m - \mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i} D_s R_m = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Beside the structure of recurrence relations (3.9) and (3.10) we see that R is uniquely determined by R_m. Therefore, we can define a linear mapping

$$\pi_{\mathrm{top}} \colon \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}_\mu \to (\mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})_\mu \tag{3.13}$$

by

$$R \mapsto R_m, \tag{3.14}$$

which is injective and $\mathfrak{l}$-equivariant.

Furthermore, the Fischer decomposition (cf. Appendix A) implies the isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\varphi \colon \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{C}[z] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_s^b M_a, \tag{3.15}$$

where $M_a = M_a^+ \oplus M_a^-$ is the subspace of $\ker D_s$ of a-homogeneous polynomials in the variables $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let us assume $n \geq 1$. Then the isotypical components of the $\mathfrak{l}$-module $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ are of the form

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{C}[z]_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_s^{a-2k} M_b^+ \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{C}[z]_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_s^{a-2k} M_b^- \tag{3.16}$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and they are of highest weights $\big(\lambda - (n+1) - a - 2b + \frac{1}{2}\big)\omega_1 + b\omega_2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{n+1}$ and $\big(\lambda - (n+1) - a - 2b + \frac{1}{2}\big)\omega_1 + b\omega_2 + \omega_n - \frac{3}{2}\omega_{n+1}$, respectively.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{C}[z]_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_s^{a-2k} M_b^+$ and $\mathbb{C}[z]_k \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_s^{a-2k} M_b^-$ are the irreducible $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$-modules with highest weights $b\omega_2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{n+1}$ and $b\omega_2 + \omega_n - \frac{3}{2}\omega_{n+1}$ for $n > 1$, and $b\omega_2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_2$ and $b\omega_2 - \frac{3}{2}\omega_2$ for $n = 1$, respectively. The rest of the proof follows from (3.4), (3.15) and Appendix A. □

Lemma 3.2. Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we have

$$D_s X_s^r v_m = -\mathrm{i}\frac{r(2m+2n+r-1)}{2} X_s^{r-1} v_m. \tag{3.17}$$

for all $v_m \in M_m$.

Proof. By (A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_s X_s^r v_m = [D_s, X_s^r] v_m &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} X_s^{r-j-1} [D_s, X_s] X_s^j v_m = -\mathrm{i} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} X_s^{r-j-1} (E+n) X_s^j v_m \\ &= -\mathrm{i} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (j+m+n) X_s^{r-1} v_m = -\mathrm{i}\tfrac{r(2m+2n+r-1)}{2} X_s^{r-1} v_m \end{aligned}$$

for all $r, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $v_m \in M_m$. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then we have

$$\partial_{x_i} X_s^r v_m = \mathrm{i} r q_i X_s^{r-1} v_m + \mathrm{i}\frac{r(r-1)}{2} y_i X_s^{r-2} v_m + X_s^r \partial_{x_i} v_m, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\partial_{y_i} X_s^r v_m = r \partial_{q_i} X_s^{r-1} v_m - \mathrm{i}\frac{r(r-1)}{2} x_i X_s^{r-2} v_m + X_s^r \partial_{y_i} v_m \tag{3.19}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $v_m \in M_m$.

Proof. A direct computation gives $[\partial_{x_i}, X_s] = \mathrm{i} q_i$ and $[X_s^r, q_i] = r y_i X_s^{r-1}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} X_s^r v_m &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} X_s^j [\partial_{x_i}, X_s] X_s^{r-j-1} v_m + X_s^r \partial_{x_i} v_m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (\mathrm{i} q_i X_s^{r-1} + \mathrm{i}[X_s^j, q_i] X_s^{r-j-1}) v_m + X_s^r \partial_{x_i} v_m \\ &= \mathrm{i} r q_i X_s^{r-1} M_m + \mathrm{i}\tfrac{r(r-1)}{2} y_i X_s^{r-2} v_m + X_s^r \partial_{x_i} v_m \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_m \in M_m$.

The formula (3.19) follows from $[\partial_{y_i}, X_s] = \partial_{q_i}$ and $[X_s^r, \partial_{q_i}] = -\mathrm{i} r x_i X_s^{r-1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by analogous computation as for (3.18). The proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.4. Let us introduce the differential operators $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{End} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ by

$$P_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_j) + y_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_j)), \tag{3.20}$$

$$P_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_j} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_j) - \partial_{y_j} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_j)). \tag{3.21}$$

Then they are of the explicit form

$$P_1 = (E_x + E_y)\big(E_x + E_y + E_z - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \mathrm{i}X_s D_s, \tag{3.22}$$

$$P_2 = 2\partial_z(E_x + E_y + 2n) + \mathrm{i}D_s^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.30)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_j) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} 2x_j y_j \partial_z + E_x\big(E_x + E_y + E_z - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j q_j D_s,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2x_j y_j \partial_z + E_y\big(E_x + E_y + E_z - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \mathrm{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \partial_{q_j} D_s,$$

and this implies (3.22). Similarly, by (2.30) we get

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{y_j} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_j) = 2(E_y + n)\partial_z - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{y_j}\big(E_x + E_y + E_z - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{y_j} q_j D_s,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j} \hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_j) = 2(E_x + n)\partial_z + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{y_j}\big(E_x + E_y + E_z - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) - \mathrm{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{q_j} D_s,$$

and this gives (3.23). □

By Lemma 3.1 we know the form of the isotypical components of the $\mathfrak{l}$-module $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$. Let us assume $R \in \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}; \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu}$ for $\mu = \big(\lambda - (n+1) - r - 2m + \frac{1}{2}\big)\omega_1 + m\omega_2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{n+1}$ or $\mu = \big(\lambda - (n+1) - r - 2m + \frac{1}{2}\big)\omega_1 + m\omega_2 + \omega_n - \frac{3}{2}\omega_{n+1}$ and $r, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, i.e.

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor} a_k z^k X_s^{r-2k} v_m, \tag{3.24}$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and either $v_m \in M_m^+$ or $v_m \in M_m^-$. As $R \in \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}; \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$, we have $R \in \ker P_1 \cap \ker P_2$ by the construction of P_1 and P_2. Using (3.17), it easily follows from (3.22) and (3.23) that $R \in \ker P_1 \cap \ker P_2$ if and only if

$$\big((r - 2k + m)(r - k + m - \lambda + n - \tfrac{1}{2}) - \tfrac{1}{2}(r - 2k)(2m + 2n + r - 2k - 1)\big)a_k = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2(k+1)(2n + m + r - 2k - 2)a_{k+1} \\ &\qquad - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(r - 2k)(r - 2k - 1)(2m + 2n + r - 2k - 1)(2m + 2n + r - 2k - 2)a_k = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

hold for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Let us note that the coefficients $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ do not depend on the vector v_m.

The next step is to solve the recurrence relations (3.25) and (3.26). If $a_0 = 0$, then from (3.26) we obtain $a_k = 0$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore, we may assume that $a_0 \neq 0$, and by (3.26) we have $a_k \neq 0$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$.

Now, if $m = 0$ and $r = 0$, there is just one equation (3.25) for $k = 0$ and it is satisfied for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, if $m \neq 0$ or $r \neq 0$, then from (3.25) for $k = 0$ we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(m + r)^2 + m(m + 2n - 1)}{m + r}. \tag{3.27}$$

The substitution for λ from (3.27) reduces the system of equations (3.25) for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ to

$$\frac{m(m + 2n - 1)k}{m + r}\, a_k = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Therefore, three mutually exclusive cases have to be considered:

1) $m=0$, $r=0$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$;

2) $m=0$, $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}r$;

3) $m\neq 0$, $r=0,1$ and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\frac{(m+r)^2+m(m+2n-1)}{m+r}$.

We shall work out each case separately.

Case 1. Let us assume $m=0$, $r=0$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$R=v_0, \tag{3.29}$$

where either $v_0\in M_0^+$ or $v_0\in M_0^-$. Since $v_0\in\ker D_s$ and $\partial_{x_i}v_0=0$, $\partial_{y_i}v_0=0$ for $i=1,2,\dots,n$, we obtain $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)R=0$ and $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)R=0$ for $i=1,2,\dots,n$. Therefore, we have

$$M_0\subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.30}$$

for $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Case 2. Let us assume $m=0$, $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}r$. Then we have

$$R=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor}a_k z^k X_s^{r-2k}v_0, \tag{3.31}$$

where either $v_0\in M_0^+$ or $v_0\in M_0^-$ and $a_k\in\mathbb{C}$ for $k=0,1,\dots,\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor$ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$2(k+1)a_{k+1}-\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(r-2k)(r-2k-1)(2n+r-2k-1)a_k=0. \tag{3.32}$$

By the recurrence relations (3.9) and (3.10), if follows that the condition $R\in\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p};\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2(k+1)a_{k+1}y_iX_s^{r-2k-2}v_0+\big(\tfrac{1}{2}r-k+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)a_k\partial_{x_i}X_s^{r-2k}v_0+a_kq_iD_sX_s^{r-2k}v_0=0,\\
&2(k+1)a_{k+1}x_iX_s^{r-2k-2}v_0+\big(\tfrac{1}{2}r-k+n-\tfrac{1}{2}\big)a_k\partial_{y_i}X_s^{r-2k}v_0-\mathrm{i}a_k\partial_{q_i}D_sX_s^{r-2k}v_0=0
\end{aligned}$$

for $k=0,1,\dots,\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor$. Using the formulas (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), they reduce into

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(-2(k+1)a_{k+1}+\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(r-2k)(r-2k-1)(2n+r-2k-1)a_k\big)y_iX_s^{r-2k-2}v_0=0,\\
\big(2(k+1)a_{k+1}-\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}(r-2k)(r-2k-1)(2n+r-2k-1)a_k\big)x_iX_s^{r-2k-2}v_0=0,
\end{aligned}$$

which are satisfied due to the recurrence relation (3.32). Let us define the differential operator $T_r^n\colon\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}\to\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ for $r\in\mathbb{N}$ by

$$T_r^n=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor}a_kz^kX_s^{r-2k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor}\mathrm{i}^kk!\binom{\frac{r}{2}}{k}\binom{\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}{k}\binom{\frac{r}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+n}{k}z^kX_s^{r-2k}, \tag{3.33}$$

where $a_k\in\mathbb{C}$ for $k=0,1,\dots,\lfloor\frac{r}{2}\rfloor$ satisfy the recurrence relation (3.32). Therefore, we have

$$T_{2\lambda}^nM_0\subset\mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.34}$$

for $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}r$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Case 3a. Let us assume $m\neq 0$, $r=0$ and $\lambda=m+n-\frac{1}{2}$. Then we have

$$R=v_m, \tag{3.35}$$

where either $v_m \in M_m^+$ or $v_m \in M_m^-$. Since $v_m \in \ker D_s$ and $(E_x + E_y)v_m = mv_m$, we obtain that $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)R = 0$ and $\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)R = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, we have

$$M_m \subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.36}$$

for $\lambda = m + n - \frac{1}{2}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 3b. Let us assume $m \neq 0$, $r = 1$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(m+1)^2+m(m+2n-1)}{m+1}$. Then we have

$$R = X_s v_m, \tag{3.37}$$

where either $v_m \in M_m^+$ or $v_m \in M_m^-$. From (3.17) we have $D_s X_s v_m = -\mathrm{i}(m+n)v_m$. By (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain $\partial_{x_i} X_s v_m = \mathrm{i}q_i v_m + X_s \partial_{x_i} v_m$ and $\partial_{y_i} X_s v_m = \partial_{q_i} v_m + X_s \partial_{y_i} v_m$. Hence, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)R &= \big((m+1-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2})\partial_{x_i} + q_i D_s\big)X_s v_m = \big(\tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,\partial_{x_i} + q_i D_s\big)X_s v_m \\ &= \tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,(-\mathrm{i}mq_i + X_s\partial_{x_i})v_m\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)R &= \big((m+1-\lambda+n-\tfrac{1}{2})\partial_{y_i} - \mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i} D_s\big)X_s v_m = \big(\tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,\partial_{y_i} - \mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i} D_s\big)X_s v_m \\ &= \tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,(-m\partial_{q_i} + X_s\partial_{y_i})v_m.\end{aligned}$$

If $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_1)R &= (-\mathrm{i}mq_1 + X_s\partial_{x_1})v_m = (-\mathrm{i}mq_1 + \mathrm{i}q_1x_1\partial_{x_1} + y_1\partial_{x_1}\partial_{q_1})v_m \\ &= (-\mathrm{i}mq_1 + \mathrm{i}q_1x_1\partial_{x_1} + \mathrm{i}q_1y_1\partial_{y_1})v_m = (-\mathrm{i}mq_1 + \mathrm{i}q_1(E_x+E_y))v_m = 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_1)R &= (-m\partial_{q_1} + X_s\partial_{y_1})v_m = (-m\partial_{q_1} + \partial_{q_1}y_1\partial_{y_1} + \mathrm{i}x_1q_1\partial_{y_1})v_m \\ &= (-m\partial_{q_1} + \partial_{q_1}y_1\partial_{y_1} + \partial_{q_1}x_1\partial_{x_1})v_m = (-m\partial_{q_1} + \partial_{q_1}(E_x+E_y))v_m = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $D_s v_m = (\mathrm{i}q_1\partial_{y_1} - \partial_{x_1}\partial_{q_1})v_m = 0$.

Now, if $n \neq 1$, then we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{q_i}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i)R &= \tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,(-\mathrm{i}m - \mathrm{i}mq_i\partial_{q_i} + \mathrm{i}x_i\partial_{x_i} + X_s\partial_{x_i}\partial_{q_i})v_m, \\ q_i\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i)R &= \tfrac{m+n}{m+1}\,(-mq_i\partial_{q_i} - y_i\partial_{y_i} + X_sq_i\partial_{y_i})v_m.\end{aligned}$$

Putting all partial results together, we get

$$\tfrac{m+1}{m+n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial_{q_i}\hat{\pi}_\lambda(d_i) - \mathrm{i}q_i\hat{\pi}_\lambda(e_i))R = (-\mathrm{i}mn + i(E_x+E_y) - X_sD_s)v_m = -\mathrm{i}(n-1)mv_m \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$X_sM_m \subset \mathrm{Sol}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{3.38}$$

for $\lambda = m + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n = 1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let us assume $n = 1$. Then we have

$$\tau \circ \Phi_{\lambda+\rho}\colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + 2 \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus T^1_{2(\lambda+2)}M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + 1 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ M_0 \oplus T^1_{2(\lambda+2)}M_0, & \text{if } \lambda = -\frac{3}{2}, \\ M_0 \oplus M_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \oplus X_sM_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \oplus T^1_{2(\lambda+2)}M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the discussion in Case 1 up to Case 3. □

Theorem 3.6. Let us assume $n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\tau \circ \Phi_{\lambda+\rho} \colon M^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)^{\mathfrak{u}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + n + 1 \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}, \\ M_0 \oplus T^n_{2(\lambda+n+1)} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ M_0 \oplus T^n_{2(\lambda+n+1)} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + n + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}_0, \\ M_0 \oplus M_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}} \oplus T^n_{2(\lambda+n+1)} M_0, & \text{if } \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the discussion in Case 1 up to Case 3. □

We retain the notation of Section 1. The symmetrization map $\beta \colon S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \to U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism of filtered vector spaces, defined on monomials by

$$\beta(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} a_{\sigma(1)} \cdot a_{\sigma(2)} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{\sigma(k)} \tag{3.39}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$. Because $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ is an $\mathfrak{l}$-module by the adjoint action, we can extend the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{l}$ on the symmetric algebra $S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$ by

$$\mathrm{ad}_b(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_1 \dots [b, a_i] \dots a_k \tag{3.40}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, $b \in \mathfrak{l}$, and also extend the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{l}$ on the universal enveloping algebra $U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$ by

$$\mathrm{ad}_b(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot [b, a_i] \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k \tag{3.41}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, $b \in \mathfrak{l}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{ad}_b \circ \beta = \beta \circ \mathrm{ad}_b$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{l}$, so consequently

$$\beta \colon S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})^{\mathfrak{l}} \to U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})^{\mathfrak{l}} \tag{3.42}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Also, we extend the map β to

$$(\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}}) \colon S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \to U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}, \tag{3.43}$$

which is again an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces.

We shall find an explicit formula for the restriction of the symmetrization map β to the $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra $(S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}} \subset S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$. The symplectic Howe duality, cf. Appendix A, implies a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism

$$(S(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[X_s, z] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}}, \tag{3.44}$$

where the element h acts by $-E_x - E_y - 2E_z + \lambda - (n+1)$. Because $c = -(\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}})(z)$ and denoting by $P_s \in U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ the image of X_s with respect to the symmetrization map $\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}}$, we get a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism

$$(U(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[X_s, c] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}}. \tag{3.45}$$

Hence we get an isomorphism $\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}} \colon \mathbb{C}[X_s, z] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}} \to \mathbb{C}[P_s, c] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})^{\mathfrak{l}}$ of vector spaces.

Lemma 3.7. Let us assume $n \geq 1$, and let $P_s = (\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}})(X_s)$. Then we have

$$P_s = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathrm{i} f_j q_j + g_j \partial_{q_j}). \tag{3.46}$$

Proof. We may write

$$(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})(X_s)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})(\mathrm{i}x_jq_j+y_j\partial_{q_j})=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\mathrm{i}\beta(x_j)q_j+\beta(y_j)\partial_{q_j})=-\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\mathrm{i}f_jq_j+g_j\partial_{q_j})$$

for $n\geq 1$. □

Since $c\in\mathfrak{z}(\overline{\mathfrak{u}})$, we obtain $(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})(z^\ell X_s^k)=(-1)^\ell c^\ell(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})(X_s^k)$ for $k,\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0$. On the other hand, because $\mathrm{ad}_h\circ(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})=(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})\circ\mathrm{ad}_h$, we get

$$(\beta\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}})(X_s^k)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\rfloor}\alpha_j^kc^jP_s^{k-2j}\tag{3.47}$$

for some $\alpha_j^k\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha_0^k=1$.

Now we illustrate the general results given in Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, and write down explicit formulas for homomorphisms between generalized Verma modules in several examples.

Let us assume $\lambda=-\big(n+1-\frac{a}{2}\big)\widetilde{\omega}_1$ and $\mu=-\big(n+1+\frac{a}{2}\big)\widetilde{\omega}_1$ for $a\in\mathbb{N}$. Then from Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 it follows that there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi\colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\mu)\to M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)\tag{3.48}$$

of generalized Verma modules, uniquely determined by a $\mathfrak{p}$-homomorphism $\varphi_0\colon\mathbb{S}_\mu\to M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_\lambda)$ through the formula

$$\varphi(u\otimes v)=u\varphi_0(v)\tag{3.49}$$

for all $u\in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v\in\mathbb{S}_\mu$.

1) If $a=1$, then

$$\varphi_0(v)=P_s(v),\quad v\in\mathbb{S}_\mu.\tag{3.50}$$

2) If $a=2$, then

$$\varphi_0(v)=\big(P_s^2-\mathrm{i}\big(n+\tfrac{1}{4}\big)c\big)(v),\quad v\in\mathbb{S}_\mu.\tag{3.51}$$

3) If $a=3$, then

$$\varphi_0(v)=(P_s^3-\mathrm{i}(3n+2)cP_s)(v),\quad v\in\mathbb{S}_\mu.\tag{3.52}$$

4) If $a=4$, then

$$\varphi_0(v)=\big(P_s^4-\mathrm{i}\big(6n+\tfrac{13}{2}\big)cP_s^2-\big(3n^2+\tfrac{9}{2}n+\tfrac{9}{16}\big)c^2\big)(v),\quad v\in\mathbb{S}_\mu.\tag{3.53}$$

4 Equivariant differential operators on generalized flag manifolds

In the present section we retain the notation introduced in Section 1. Let us recall a few basic facts concerning infinite-dimensional complex representations of finite-dimensional real Lie groups, see [2].

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a quasi-complete Hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We say that a representation $(\sigma,\mathbb{V})$ of P is continuous provided the mapping $(g,v)\mapsto\sigma(g)v$ from $G\times\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}$ is continuous.

Let us consider a continuous representation $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$ of P. If the mapping $g \mapsto \sigma(g)v$ from G to $\mathbb{V}$ is smooth for $v \in \mathbb{V}$, then v is called a smooth vector. The representation $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$ is smooth provided all vectors in $\mathbb{V}$ are smooth. Moreover, the vector subspace $\mathbb{V}^\infty \subset \mathbb{V}$ of all smooth vectors is a continuous representation of P and also a continuous representation of $\mathfrak{p}$. For a smooth representation $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$ of P, we define the contragredient representation of P as $(\sigma^*, \mathbb{V}^\vee)$, where $\mathbb{V}^\vee = (\mathbb{V}^*)^\infty \subset \mathbb{V}^*$ with the weak topology. Moreover, the canonical pairing $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle \colon \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^\vee \to \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate. Let us note that in the subsequent discussion it is not essential to consider the whole smooth P-module $(\sigma^*, \mathbb{V}^\vee)$ but it is sufficient to take into account a smooth P-submodule $(\sigma^*, \mathbb{V}')$ for which the canonical pairing between $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}'$ is non-degenerate.

Given a complex smooth P-module $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$, we consider the induced representation of G on the space $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{V})$ of smooth sections of the homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = G \times_P \mathbb{V} \to G/P$ identified with

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V});\ f(gp) = \sigma(p^{-1})f(g) \text{ for all } g \in G,\ p \in P\}. \tag{4.1}$$

We denote by $J_e^k(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ the space of k-jets supported at $e \in G$ of P-equivariant smooth mappings for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and by $J_e^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ its projective limit

$$J_e^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P = \varprojlim_k J_e^k(G, \mathbb{V})^P. \tag{4.2}$$

A well-known fact, usually stated for a complex finite-dimensional P-module $(\sigma, \mathbb{V})$, is the existence of a non-degenerate $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-equivariant pairing between $J_e^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ and $M_\mathfrak{p}^\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{V}^*)$, see [3], [17] for independent proofs. This pairing identifies $M_\mathfrak{p}^\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{V}^*)$ with the vector space of all $\mathbb{C}$-linear mappings $J_e^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P \to \mathbb{C}$ that factor through $J_e^k(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We now give a straightforward generalization of the statement made in the previous paragraph for finite-dimensional inducing P-modules. We denote by R and L the right and left regular G-action (or their derived $\mathfrak{g}$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$-actions) on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})$, respectively.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a smooth P-module. The bilinear mapping

$$\Phi_\mathbb{V} \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V}) \times (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_\mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}^\vee) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}) \tag{4.3}$$

defined by

$$\Phi_\mathbb{V}(f, u \otimes \alpha) = \langle R_u(f), \alpha \rangle = R_u(\langle f, \alpha \rangle), \tag{4.4}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^\vee$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, induces a bilinear mapping

$$\Phi_\mathbb{V} \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P \times M_\mathfrak{p}^\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{V}^\vee) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{C}). \tag{4.5}$$

Moreover, the bilinear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P \times M_\mathfrak{p}^\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{V}^\vee) \to \mathbb{C} \\ &(f, u \otimes \alpha) \mapsto \Phi_\mathbb{V}(f, u \otimes \alpha)(e) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

is $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-equivariant.

Proof. Let us denote by $I(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{V}^\vee)$ the $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-submodule of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_\mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}^\vee$, generated by complex subspace

$$\langle X \otimes \alpha - 1 \otimes \sigma^*(X)\alpha;\ X \in \mathfrak{p},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{V}^\vee \rangle \subset U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_\mathbb{C} \mathbb{V}^\vee.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ and $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, then we have $R_X(f) = -\sigma(X)f$. Hence, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\mathbb{V}(f, uX \otimes \alpha) &= R_u(\langle R_X(f), \alpha \rangle) = R_u(\langle -\sigma(X)f, \alpha \rangle) \\ &= R_u(\langle f, \sigma^*(X)\alpha \rangle) = \Phi_\mathbb{V}(f, u \otimes \sigma^*(X)\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^\vee$, which implies that $\Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, I(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{V}^\vee)) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$.

Further, we prove that the billinear mapping (4.6) is $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-equivariant. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$, $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^\vee$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(L_X(f), u \otimes \alpha) &= L_X \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha), \\ \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, Xu \otimes \alpha) &= R_X \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, where we used $[L_X, R_u] = 0$. Since we have

$$(R_X(f) + L_X(f))(e) = 0,$$

we get

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(L_X(f), u \otimes \alpha)(e) + \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, Xu \otimes \alpha)(e) = 0,$$

which means that (4.6) is $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant. Furthermore, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, \mathrm{Ad}(p)u \otimes \sigma^*(p)\alpha) &= R_{\mathrm{Ad}(p)u}(\langle f, \sigma^*(p)\alpha\rangle) = R_{\mathrm{Ad}(p)u}(\langle \sigma(p^{-1})f, \alpha\rangle) \\ &= R_{\mathrm{Ad}(p)u}(\langle R_p(f), \alpha\rangle) = (R_{\mathrm{Ad}(p)u} \circ R_p)(\langle f, \alpha\rangle) \\ &= (R_p \circ R_u)(\langle f, \alpha\rangle) = R_p \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for $p \in P$, where we used $R_p(f) = \sigma(p^{-1})f$ and $R_{\mathrm{Ad}(p)u} \circ R_p = R_p \circ R_u$. Finally, since we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(L_{p^{-1}}(f), u \otimes \alpha) = L_{p^{-1}} \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha),$$

we obtain

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(L_{p^{-1}}(f), u \otimes \alpha)(e) - \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, \mathrm{Ad}(p)u \otimes \sigma^*(p)\alpha)(e) = \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha)(p) - \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, u \otimes \alpha)(p) = 0,$$

which means that (4.6) is P-equivariant. The proof is complete. □

Let us note that the $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-equivariant bilinear mapping (4.6) identifies the generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}^\vee)$ with the vector space of all $\mathbb{C}$-linear mappings $J_e^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P \to \mathbb{C}$ that factor through $J_e^k(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Another remark is that the duality in question is equivalent to a more geometric construction of invariant differential jet operator.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be complex smooth P-modules. Then there is a bijection between $(\mathfrak{g}, P)$-equivariant homomorphisms of generalized Verma modules

$$\varphi \colon M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{W}^\vee) \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{V}^\vee), \tag{4.7}$$

and G-equivariant differential operators

$$D \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{W})^P \tag{4.8}$$

given by

$$\langle D(f), \beta\rangle = \Phi_{\mathbb{V}}(f, \varphi(1 \otimes \beta)) \tag{4.9}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \mathbb{V})^P$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{W}^*$.

We note that the proof of both injectivity and surjectivity is completely parallel to the case of finite-dimensional inducing representations.

Now we apply this result to the case of our interest studied from the algebraic perspective in Section 2 and Section 3.

4.1 Equivariant differential operators on symplectic spinors in the contact projective geometry

By [18], there is a double cover of the maximal parabolic subgroup of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$ to the metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$, which splits over the nilpotent subgroup $\mathrm{H}(n,\mathbb{R})$ in the Langlands-Iwasawa decomposition of this parabolic subgroup. The group $\mathrm{Mp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$ has the parabolic subgroup with the Levi subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$. Let us note that the extension cocycle splits over the field of complex numbers.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\widetilde{G}=\mathrm{Mp}(2n+2,\mathbb{R})$, $n\geq 2$ and $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p},\mathbb{C})$. Let $\widetilde{P}\simeq\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{Mp}(2n,\mathbb{R})$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$, whose nilpotent subgroup in the Langlands-Iwasawa decomposition of $\widetilde{P}$ is the Heisenberg group $\mathrm{H}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Further, for $\mathbb{V}=\mathbb{S}_\lambda$ we have $\mathbb{V}'\simeq\mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda}$ ($\mathbb{S}^*$ is the restricted dual space to $\mathbb{S}$). Then the singular vectors in Theorem 3.6 correspond to the $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators, given in the non-compact picture of the induced representations as follows.

1) Let $\lambda=-\big(n+1-\frac{a}{2}\big)\widetilde{\omega}_1$ and $\mu=-\big(n+1+\frac{a}{2}\big)\widetilde{\omega}_1$ for $a\in\mathbb{N}$. Then there are equivariant differential operators
$$D_a\colon\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda})\to\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{S}^*_{-\mu}) \tag{4.10}$$
of order $a\in\mathbb{N}$. The infinitesimal intertwining property of D_a is
$$D_a\pi^*_{-\frac{a}{2}}(X)=\pi^*_{\frac{a}{2}}(X)D_a \tag{4.11}$$
for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}$. We call these operators contact powers of the symplectic Dirac operator.

2) Let $\lambda=-\big(\frac{3}{2}-a\big)\widetilde{\omega}_1$ for $a\in\mathbb{N}$. Then there are equivariant differential operators
$$T_a\colon\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{S}^*_{-\lambda})\to\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathbb{R}),(M_{\lambda+\frac{3}{2}})^*) \tag{4.12}$$
of order $a\in\mathbb{N}$. We call these operators twistor operators on symplectic spinors.

The remaining collection of singular vectors corresponds to the $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operator given by a multiple of the identity map. Analogous statement as the last theorem can be made in the case $n=1$ using Theorem 3.5.

If we introduce a non-degenerate pairing $(\cdot\,,\cdot)\colon\mathbb{S}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}\to\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{S}$ defined by the formula
$$(p_1(q),p_2(q))=p_1(\partial_q)p_2(q)|_{q=0}, \tag{4.13}$$
where $q=(q_1,q_2,\dots,q_n)$ and $\partial_q=(\partial_{q_1},\partial_{q_2},\dots,\partial_{q_n})$, then we can identify the restricted dual space to $\mathbb{S}$ with $\mathbb{S}$, and the structure of the dual $\mathfrak{p}$-module on $\mathbb{S}$ is given as follows. The generators of $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}}$ act as
$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^*(h_{E_{ij},0,0})&=q_i\partial_{q_j}+\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{ij},\\ \sigma^*(h_{0,E_{ij}+E_{ji},0})&=-\mathrm{i}q_iq_j,\\ \sigma^*(h_{0,0,E_{ij}+E_{ji}})&=-\mathrm{i}\partial_{q_i}\partial_{q_j}\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$
for $i,j=1,2,\dots,n$, and the generators of the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ and of the nilradical $\mathfrak{u}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ act trivially. Let us define
$$D_{\hat{s}}=\sum_{j=1}^n(\mathrm{i}q_j\partial_{\hat{y}_j}-\partial_{\hat{x}_j}\partial_{q_j})\qquad\text{and}\qquad X_{\hat{s}}=\sum_{j=1}^n(\mathrm{i}\hat{x}_jq_j+\hat{y}_j\partial_{q_j}), \tag{4.15}$$
then we can write down explicit formulas for the operators D_1,D_2,D_3,D_4 in the form
$$\begin{aligned}D_1&=D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}},\\ D_2&=\big(D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)^2+\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\partial_{\hat{z}},\\ D_3&=\big(D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)\big(\big(D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)^2+\mathrm{i}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big),\\ D_4&=\big(\big(D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)^2+\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)\big(\big(D_{\hat{s}}-\tfrac{1}{2}X_{\hat{s}}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)^2+\mathrm{i}\tfrac{9}{4}\partial_{\hat{z}}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

in the case $n \geq 1$.

The examples of $\widetilde{G}$-equivariant differential operators D_a for $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$ suggest the existence of the following remarkable conjectural factorization property of D_a, $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$D_{2k+2} = \prod_{j=0}^{k} \big(\big(D_{\hat{s}} - \tfrac{1}{2} X_{\hat{s}} \partial_{\hat{z}} \big)^2 + \mathrm{i} \tfrac{(2j+1)^2}{4} \, \partial_{\hat{z}} \big), \tag{4.17}$$

$$D_{2k+1} = \big(D_{\hat{s}} - \tfrac{1}{2} X_{\hat{s}} \partial_{\hat{z}} \big) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \big(\big(D_{\hat{s}} - \tfrac{1}{2} X_{\hat{s}} \partial_{\hat{z}} \big)^2 + \mathrm{i} j^2 \partial_{\hat{z}} \big) \tag{4.18}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We do not know a direct proof of this observation.

Appendix A The Fischer decomposition for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$

Throughout the article, we needed a rather precise information about the simple part of the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{s}} \simeq \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-module structure on the generalized Verma module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho})$ for $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{C})$. Following [1], this can be described in terms of the Howe duality for the pair $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ acting on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathfrak{u}}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho}$ by (2.29) for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and by

$$D_s = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathrm{i} q_j \partial_{y_j} - \partial_{x_j} \partial_{q_j}), \qquad E = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j \partial_{x_j} + y_j \partial_{y_j}), \qquad X_s = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathrm{i} x_j q_j + y_j \partial_{q_j}) \tag{A.1}$$

for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. This decomposition can be schematically represented as

$$\mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*] \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \simeq \bigoplus_{a,b \in \mathbb{N}_0} X_s^a M_b, \quad M_b = \big(\mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*]_b \otimes \mathbb{S}_{\lambda-\rho} \big) \cap \ker D_s. \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
P_0 \otimes \mathbb{S} & & P_1 \otimes \mathbb{S} & & P_2 \otimes \mathbb{S} & & P_3 \otimes \mathbb{S} & & P_4 \otimes \mathbb{S} & & P_5 \otimes \mathbb{S} \\
\| & & \| & & \| & & \| & & \| & & \| \\
M_0 & \longrightarrow & X_s M_0 & \longrightarrow & X_s^2 M_0 & \longrightarrow & X_s^3 M_0 & \longrightarrow & X_s^4 M_0 & \longrightarrow & X_s^5 M_0 \\
 & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
 & & M_1 & \longrightarrow & X_s M_1 & \longrightarrow & X_s^2 M_1 & \longrightarrow & X_s^3 M_1 & \longrightarrow & X_s^4 M_1 \\
 & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
 & & & & M_2 & \longrightarrow & X_s M_2 & \longrightarrow & X_s^2 M_2 & \longrightarrow & X_s^3 M_2 \\
 & & & & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
 & & & & & & M_3 & \longrightarrow & X_s M_3 & \longrightarrow & X_s^2 M_3 \\
 & & & & & & & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
 & & & & & & & & M_4 & \longrightarrow & X_s M_4 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & \oplus \\
 & & & & & & & & & & M_5
\end{array}$$

We used the notation P_b for the b-homogeneous polynomials instead of $\mathbb{C}[(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})^*]_b$ in the last picture. The operators D_s and X_s act in the previous picture horizontally, but in the opposite direction, E preserves each simple symplectic module in the decomposition and the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-commutation relations are

$$[E + n, D_s] = -D_s, \qquad [X_s, D_s] = \mathrm{i}(E + n), \qquad [E + n, X_s] = X_s. \tag{A.3}$$

Let us note that the operators X_s, D_s and E are $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-invariant.

Acknowledgement

L. Křižka is supported by PRVOUK p47, P. Somberg acknowledges the financial support from the grant GA CR P201/12/G028.

References

[1] Hendrik De Bie, Petr Somberg, and Vladimír Souček, *The metaplectic Howe duality and polynomial solutions for the symplectic Dirac operator*, J. Geom. Phys. **75** (2014), 120–128.

[2] Bill Casselman, *Continuous representations*, 2016, available at `https://www.math.ubc.ca/~cass/research/pdf/Continuous.pdf`.

[3] David H. Collingwood and Brad Shelton, *A duality theorem for extensions of induced highest weight modules*, Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), no. 2, 227–237.

[4] Daniel J. F. Fox, *Contact projective structures*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), no. 6, 1547–1598.

[5] Rod A. Gover and Robin C. Graham, *CR invariant powers of the sub-Laplacian*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **583** (2005), 1–27.

[6] Robin C. Graham, Ralph Jenne, Lionel J. Mason, and George A. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **46** (1992), no. 3, 557–565.

[7] Katharina Habermann and Lutz Habermann, *Introduction to symplectic Dirac operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1887, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[8] Jonathan Holland and George Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the ambient Dirac operator*, `arXiv:math/0112033` (2001).

[9] Lenka Kadlčáková, *Dirac operators in parabolic contact symplectic geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Charles University in Prague, 2001.

[10] Libor Křižka and Petr Somberg, *Algebraic analysis of scalar generalized Verma modules of Heisenberg parabolic type I.: A_n-series*, `arXiv:1502.07095` (2015), (accepted to Transformation Groups (2016)).

[11] ———, *Algebraic analysis on scalar generalized Verma modules of Heisenberg parabolic type II.: C_n, D_n-series*, (in preparation).

[12] Toshiyuki Kobayashi, Bent Ørsted, Petr Somberg, and Vladimír Souček, *Branching laws for Verma modules and applications in parabolic geometry. I*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1796–1852.

[13] Bertram Kostant, *Symplectic spinors*, Symposia Mathematica, Progress in Mathematics, vol. XIV, Academic Press, London, 1974, pp. 139–152.

[14] ———, *On the tensor product of a finite and an infinite dimensional representation*, J. Functional Analysis **20** (1975), no. 4, 257–285.

[15] ———, *Verma modules and the existence of quasi-invariant differential operators*, Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 466, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 101–128.

[16] Volodymyr Mazorchuk and Catharina Stroppel, *Categorification of (induced) cell modules and the rough structure of generalised Verma modules*, Adv. Math. **219** (2008), no. 4, 1363–1426.

[17] Wolfgang Soergel, *The prime spectrum of the enveloping algebra of a reductive Lie algebra*, Math. Z. **204** (1990), no. 4, 559–581.

[18] Joseph A. Wolf, *Unitary representations of maximal parabolic subgroups of the classical groups*, vol. 8, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 180, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1976.

(L. Křižka) Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic
E-mail address: krizka.libor@gmail.com

(P. Somberg) Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic
E-mail address: somberg@karlin.mff.cuni.cz